AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT	COURT FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT NEBRASKAT OF NEBRASKA	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. HECTOR RODRIGUEZ, JR.	ORDER OF	2008 FEB 27 PM 5: 35 F DETENTION PENDING TRIAL 4:08CR3024 OFFICE OF THE GLERI	
	Defendant coordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 of the defendant pending trial in this case.	2(f), a detention hearing has been		
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described or local offense that would have been a federal offen a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 an offense for which the maximum sentence is 1 an offense for which a maximum term of impris	use if a circumstance giving rise to 56(a)(4). ife imprisonment or death.	federal jurisdiction had existed that is	
(3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local The offense described in finding (1) was committed A period of not more than five years has elapsed sinfor the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I	al offenses. while the defendant was on release the date of conviction presumption that no condition or further find that the defendant has	be pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. release of the defendant from imprisonment combination of conditions will reasonably assure the	
x (1)	Alternative Findings (A) (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense **For which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq			
(I)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
l fin	Part II—Writte d that the credible testimony and information submitt	n Statement of Reasons for D	Detention ☐ clear and convincing evidence ☐ a prepon	
derance of	of the evidence that warved	krg + agreed	to detention at	
3	of forty custody	oil investigate	det's request tox	
to the ex reasonab Governm	Part III— defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney of tent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving so le opportunity for private consultation with defense ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility socion with a court proceeding.	entences or being held in custody counsel. On order of a court of thall deliver the defendant to the University	ative for confinement in a corrections facility separa pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded the United States or on request of an attorney for t	
	David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judicial Officer			

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).